FY 2019 SCORING SHEET – NEW PROJECT APPLICATONS BUTTE COUNTYWIDE HOMELESS CONTINUUM OF CARE

| Project Name: | | Agency: |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Project Component Type: Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) – Project Base Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) – Scattered Sit Rapid Re-housing (RRH) Joint Transitional Housing (TH) - Rapid Re-housing (RRH) Component Project Supportive Services Only for Coordinated Entry (SSO Dedicated HMIS carried out by the CoC's HMIS Lead | d ce | Funding Source for New Project (Check All That Apply): Bonus Domestic Violence (DV) Bonus Reallocation (Including Transition) |
| EXPERIENCE AND CAPACITY | | Total Possible Points: 20 |
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer Score | Reviewer Comments |
| Experience working with proposed population and housing type Concerns with current CoC grants Clarity of roles of partners (if applicable) Collaborative relationships with other service providers Satisfactory organizational structure and financial management systems | Score | |
| PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND TIMELINE | | Total Possible Points: 10 |
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer Score | Reviewer Comments |
| Clearly and comprehensively addresses all key points for project structure highlighted in application Operational within timeframe required by HUD Site-related information complete based on type of project | | |
| HOUSING FIRST EXPERIENCE/EVICTION PREVENTION | | Total Possible Points: 10 |
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer Score | Reviewer Comments |
| Fidelity with Housing First principles Eviction prevention strategy No Testing for Drugs or Alcohol | | |

FY 2019 SCORING SHEET – NEW PROJECT APPLICATONS BUTTE COUNTYWIDE HOMELESS CONTINUUM OF CARE

| COC PARTICIPATION | | Total Possible Points: 10 | |
|--|----------|---------------------------|--|
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer | Reviewer Comments | |
| | Score | | |
| Current participant (Membership, PIT | | | |
| Count, Committees) | | | |
| Satisfactory data quality performance in | | | |
| HMIS (or comparable database if applicant | | | |
| is a victim service provider) | | | |
| Coordinated Entry (referrals, workgroup moetings) | | | |
| meetings) • Agencies that have not participated will be | | | |
| unable to earn these points | | | |
| | | | |
| DESIGN OF HOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES AND PROGRAM ACTIVITIES Total Possible Points: 10 | | | |
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer | Reviewer Comments | |
| | Score | | |
| Service and housing design or program | | | |
| activities meets type and needs for | | | |
| targeted population or CoCinfrastructure | | | |
| Efficacy of service or program design to | | | |
| increase employment/income and living | | | |
| ORGANIZATIONAL AND FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT | | Total Possible Points: 15 | |
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer | Reviewer Comments | |
| | Score | | |
| Experience utilizing Federal funds | | | |
| Successful drawdown of all funds | | | |
| Satisfactory organizational and | | | |
| management structure and capacity | | | |
| Strength of financial management team | | | |
| | | | |
| BUDGET AND MATCH | | Total Possible Points: 5 | |
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer | Reviewer Comments | |
| | Score | | |
| Proposed plan for match | | | |
| Budget shows only allowable costs | | | |
| Budget reflects reasonable and customary | | | |
| costs | | | |
| | | | |
| TOTAL POINTS ACHIEVED | | Total Possible Points: 80 | |
| Evaluation Dimension | Reviewer | Reviewer Comments | |
| | Score | | |
| Total Points | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

ADDITIONAL REVIEWER COMMENTS: