



# Butte Countywide Homeless Continuum of Care

Monday, October 7, 2024, 1:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.

Special Council Member Meeting

Butte County Employment & Social Services

Zoom Link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/84563211115?pwd=LfLdO7QRzixbrheYkfuSFhnjrYT6zl.1>

Join by Phone: +1 669 444 9171

Zoom Meeting ID: 835 6321 1115 Passcode: 127762

## COC COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Amber Abney-Bass	Briana Harvey-Butterfield	Jennifer Macarthy	Steve Culleton
Anastacia Snyder	Ian Clement	Matthew McCoy	Tami Ritter
Angie Little	Josh Indar	Meagan Meloy	Tracy Johnstone
Ann Winters	Josh Jamison	Sarah Frohock	
Brad Brunner	Keesha Hills		

CoC Coordinator: Erin Murray

Recording: Lisa Angle

## AGENDA

- 1) Convene Meeting and Establish Quorum A. Abney-Bass
  - A) Virtual Meeting Format. Recording Meeting.
- 2) Approval of Priority Listing for HUD Continuum of Care 2024-25 Application – **ACTION** S. Morgado
- 3) Next Meeting – Monday, October 21, 2024: Council Member Meeting  
Butte County Department of Employment & Social Services to host Virtual Meeting
- 4) **ADJOURN**

## BUTTE COUNTYWIDE HOMELESS CONTINUUM OF CARE COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

**Date:** October 7, 2024

**To:** CoC Council

**From:** Sherry Morgado, Housing Tools, Consultant for the Butte Countywide Homeless CoC

**RE:** Approval of Priority Listing for HUD Continuum of Care 2024 Application

---

**Requested Actions:** Due to identified discrepancies between the CoC's approved Review, Score and Ranking Procedures adopted at the August 19, 2024 CoC meeting, and the CoC ranking process which was followed at the September 30, 2024 meeting, the CoC is requested to take the following actions:

- 1.) Revoke the Priority Listing approved by the CoC Council on September 30, 2024;
- 2.) Utilizing the rules and parameters called out in "Step 5—Council Approval" of the approved Review, Score and Ranking Procedures adopted at the August 19, 2024 meeting, approve a Priority Listing of project applications that will apply under the Butte Countywide Homeless Continuum of Care (CoC) in response to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) federal Fiscal Years 2024 and 2025 Continuum of Care NOFO (NOFO). The Priority Listing is the result of a review and ranking of project applications to be submitted to HUD as part of the Butte CoC NOFO application (Consolidated Application).

**Background:** On August 19, 2024, the CoC Council approved a set of Review, Score and Ranking Procedures to be used for the 2024-2025 project application process, which is included as Attachment A to this report. Under Step 5—Council Approval, there is a set of 11 rules and parameters the CoC Council will use in its deliberations on the Priority Ranking Spreadsheet as follows:

1. Housing Tools provides an overview of the review and ranking criteria and process.
2. If there is an even number of CoC Councilmembers who are not Project Applicants, the non-applicant members of the CoC Council designate, by majority, a Review and Ranking Chair to represent the group and cast an additional tie-breaking vote, if necessary.
3. Housing Tools presents the recommended Project Priority Listing. CoC Councilmembers and CoC Members may pose questions to Project Applicant representatives. Project Applicant representatives do not participate in this discussion unless they are requested to respond to specific questions by CoC Councilmembers or CoC Members.
4. Any CoC Councilmember whose agency has submitted an application recuses themselves from voting on the application ranking. These Councilmembers will stay on the premises in case the Council needs to reconvene for further questions.
5. Councilmembers may briefly discuss the applications among themselves or ask Housing Tools criteria or process questions, if necessary. There will be no further discussion or questions with CoC membership unless all Councilmembers are present.

6. The Council votes to approve or disapprove the recommended Project Priority Listing.
7. In the event that the recommended Project Priority Listing is disapproved by a majority, Housing Tools will facilitate a decision-making process to reorder the project rankings. Any ranking must be supported by a consistent and strong rationale that adheres to the CoC Program goals and NOFA policy priorities.
8. In the event that consensus cannot be achieved for any particular ranking, a vote will be recorded, with each CoC Councilmember casting one vote. In the event of a tie, the Review and Ranking Chair will cast an additional tie-breaking vote.
9. Housing Tools records the rankings, Tier 1 or Tier 2 designations, any reallocation, and summarizes these to the full CoC Council.
10. There will be no further discussion, questions, or comment regarding the Project Priority Listing during the CoC Council Meeting after the vote is complete.
11. In the case that there is no quorum for a vote, Steps 1 through Step 6 will be followed. If the majority vote approves the Project Priority Listing, an online vote will take place within 24 hours of the meeting for all absentee non-applicant Councilmembers. If the majority vote disapproves the Project Priority Listing, Step 7 will take place, followed by an online vote for all non-applicant Councilmembers within 24 hours. Step 9 will occur through email announcement by Housing Tools to all CoC Membership, including Councilmembers and Project Applicants.

The September 30, 2024 staff memo delineated a Council review and ranking process with 5 steps, rather than the 11 rules and parameters noted above. While it was intended that these 5 steps incorporate the 11 rules and parameters, there were details from the 11 rules and parameters that were missing from the 5 steps. After the September 30, 2024 meeting, a voting Councilmember inquired about this. In response, the Executive Committee met and asked the Governance Committee to review this inquiry. Only non-project applicant members of the Governance Committee participated in this inquiry. The Governance Committee informed the Executive Committee of their findings that the process laid out in the adopted Review, Score and Ranking process had not been fully followed at the September 30, 2024 meeting. The Executive Committee agrees with this finding and has therefore requested that the Council reconvene to follow the 11 rules and parameters and vote again on the Priority List.

**Project Applications Received:**

Renewal Project Applications: A total of 7 renewal project applications were received as follows:

- HMIS—Butte County DESS
- Coordinated Entry (CES)—Butte County DESS
- Catalyst RRH—Catalyst DV Services
- Catalyst RRH/TH—Catalyst DV Services
- Avenida Apartments—Caminar
- Base Camp Village—Caminar

## Housing for All—Chico Housing Action Team (CHAT)

Expansion Project Applications: 1 Expansion Project application was received as follows:  
HMIS Expansion—Butte County DESS

New Project Applications: No new project applications were received.

**Review and Ranking Process by CoC Consultant:** The CoC contracted with Housing Tools to conduct an objective review of all 8 project applications and recommend a rank order Priority Listing of those applications to the CoC Council for approval. Housing Tools conducted this review in accordance with the Project Application Scoring Criteria for each project type (Attachment B). The ranked project applications were grouped in Tier 1 and Tier 2 categories, as required by the NOFO. Important items to note when reviewing the Ranking Spreadsheet:

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): The total amount of all of the CoC's projects eligible for renewal, before any required adjustments to funding for leasing rental assistance and operating budget line items based on Fair Market Rents (FMRs). The 2024 ARD for the Butte CoC is \$629,650.

Tier 1 and Tier 2: HUD requires that CoC's rank all projects received into one of two tiers and HUD annually sets the funding parameters for these tiers:

Tier 1: For the 2024 and 2025 NOFO, HUD has set Tier 1 funding to be equal to 90% of the ARD or \$566,685. The difference between ARD and Tier 1 for this NOFO is \$62,965, which is 10% of ARD. This means that Tier 1 projects are those whose scores and then requested funding ranked them to fall within the 90% of ARD.

Tier 2: For the 2024 and 2025 NOFO, HUD has set Tier 2 funding to be the difference between Tier 1 and the maximum amount of renewal and CoC Bonus funds that the CoC applies for, which is \$173,529. This means that Tier 2 projects are those whose scores and then requested funding push them into the potential funding HUD may make available through the remaining 10% of ARD and the CoC Bonus.

Straddling of Tiers: If a project straddles Tier 1 and Tier 2, HUD will conditionally select the project up to the amount of funding that falls within Tier 1. HUD may fund the Tier 2 portion based upon the CoC score and other rating factors for the CoC Application. If HUD does not fund the Tier 2 portion, it will fund the portion in Tier 1, provided the project is still feasible with the reduced funding.

CoC Bonus: The Priority Listing assumes that the CoC Bonus is received, which would allow for some projects or portions of projects to be funded in Tier 2. ***Please be aware that if the CoC Bonus is not received, the only projects that would receive full funding are those fully within Tier 1, which this year HUD has set at 90% of the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD).*** This means there is an automatic loss of \$62,965 (10% of the ARD) for the CoC overall within Tier 1. If HUD funds up to the full amount of the ARD, then there will be some opportunity for partial funding of projects in Tier 2. Without the CoC Bonus, there is no opportunity for full funding of projects ranked in Tier 2.

The FY 2024 Butte Countywide Homeless CoC Recommended Priority Listing (Attachment B) shows Housing Tools' recommended ranking of projects based upon our review and scoring of the applications using the CoC approved and adopted RFP scoring criteria (Attachment D), which the CoC approved at its August 19, 2024 meeting.

At the September 30, 2024 meeting, Caminar requested to have the ranking positions of the Base Camp Village and Avenida Apartments Projects switched, and the Council approved the ranking consistent with this request (Attachment C).

**Review and Ranking Process by CoC Council:** Project application ranking will be recommended to the CoC Council, illustrated in an order ranking across tiers (see Attachment B Priority Ranking Spreadsheet). The CoC Council will discuss, amend and approve ranking by majority vote. Council members representing an agency with an application may participate in discussing the applications but will recuse themselves from voting. Below are the rules and parameters the CoC Council will use in its deliberations on the Priority Ranking Spreadsheet.

1. Housing Tools provides an overview of the review and ranking criteria and process.
2. If there is an even number of CoC Councilmembers who are not Project Applicants, the non-applicant members of the CoC Council designate, by majority, a Review and Ranking Chair to represent the group and cast an additional tie-breaking vote, if necessary.
3. Housing Tools presents the recommended Project Priority Listing. CoC Councilmembers and CoC Members may pose questions to Project Applicant representatives. Project Applicant representatives do not participate in this discussion unless they are requested to respond to specific questions by CoC Councilmembers or CoC Members.
4. Any CoC Councilmember whose agency has submitted an application recuses themselves from voting on the application ranking. These Councilmembers will stay on the premises in case the Council needs to reconvene for further questions.
5. Councilmembers may briefly discuss the applications among themselves or ask Housing Tools criteria or process questions, if necessary. There will be no further discussion or questions with CoC membership unless all Councilmembers are present.
6. The Council votes to approve or disapprove the recommended Project Priority Listing.
7. In the event that the recommended Project Priority Listing is disapproved by a majority, Housing Tools will facilitate a decision-making process to reorder the project rankings. Any ranking must be supported by a consistent and strong rationale that adheres to the CoC Program goals and NOFA policy priorities.
8. In the event that consensus cannot be achieved for any particular ranking, a vote will be recorded, with each CoC Councilmember casting one vote. In the event of a tie, the Review and Ranking Chair will cast an additional tie-breaking vote.

9. Housing Tools records the rankings, Tier 1 or Tier 2 designations, any reallocation, and summarizes these to the full CoC Council.
10. There will be no further discussion, questions, or comment regarding the Project Priority Listing during the CoC Council Meeting after the vote is complete.
11. In the case that there is no quorum for a vote, Steps 1 through Step 6 will be followed. If the majority vote approves the Project Priority Listing, an online vote will take place within 24 hours of the meeting for all absentee non-applicant Councilmembers. If the majority vote disapproves the Project Priority Listing, Step 7 will take place, followed by an online vote for all non-applicant Councilmembers within 24 hours. Step 9 will occur through email announcement by Housing Tools to all CoC Membership, including Councilmembers and Project Applicants.

#### Attachments

- Attachment A: Butte Countywide Homeless Continuum of Care, 2024-2025 CoC Annual HUD Funding Application, Review Score and Ranking Procedures, Adopted by CoC Council 08/19/2024
- Attachment B: FY 2024 Butte Countywide Homeless CoC Recommended Priority Listing
- Attachment C: FY 2024 Butte Countywide Homeless CoC Recommended Priority Listing (approved by CoC Council: 09/30/2024) *(Note: order of Caminar projects switched)*
- Attachment D: Project Application Scoring Criteria (Renewal and Expansion)

**Butte Countywide Homeless Continuum of Care  
2024-2025 CoC Annual Funding Application  
Review, Score, and Ranking Procedures**

# Attachment A

Adopted by CoC Council: 08/19/2024

HUD requires CoCs to conduct a detailed and objective review, score, and ranking process for project applications that prioritizes and funds the projects which best align with HUD priorities and performance standards. The procedures include a preliminary review of eligibility based on HUD eligibility and project quality threshold standards. Eligible applications are then reviewed and scored based on the applicant and project's ability to meet the HUD priorities and performance measures established in the NOFO, based on their past performance, and the proposed project design. Applications are then ranked based on their score and recommended to the CoC Council accordingly. With the Council's approval, the ranking determines whether applications are placed in Tier 1 or Tier 2, as required by HUD. Applications which are not ranked and placed in either Tier 1 or Tier 2 are the CoC Planning Grant projects. A detailed description of these procedures follows below. See *Schedule* for the 2024 Project Application key dates. Because HUD has issued a two-year NOFO for the fiscal years 2024 and 2025, these procedures are intended to be in alignment with the specific eligible funding mechanisms laid out by HUD in the 2024-2025 NOFO.

## Step 1 – Approved Procedures and Documentation

*Review, Score and Ranking Procedures*, the *Process for Reallocation*, the *Schedule*, the *Renewal Project Application RFP* and the *New Project Application RFP* are developed and distributed to CoC Council members. The documents are presented, reviewed, amended as needed, and approved at a public CoC Council meeting.

## Step 2 – Outreach

All non-profit, Tribal and public agencies eligible to submit project applications per 24 CFR 578.15 are invited to submit a Renewal Project Application if they are an existing grantee, or an application for new or expanded project funding when there is an opportunity for reallocation of funds or new funds made available by HUD through the CoC Bonus and DV Bonus. An announcement of available funds, the *Schedule*, the *Review, Score and Ranking Procedures*, the *Reallocation Process*, the *Renewal Project Application RFP* and the *New Project Application RFP* will be posted on the CoC's website, with notification of such posting distributed to all agencies who are CoC members or who have expressed an interest in being informed of CoC activities. Individual agencies that have expressed interest in receiving CoC-program funds may also be directly contacted. The announcement of available funds will be produced in English. If desired by interested parties, relevant documents will be translated into Spanish. An orientation session will be offered to all interested applicants, and attendance at this session is mandatory. This is to ensure that all applicants have an opportunity to receive the same information regarding the NOFO and local competition and are able to ask questions and hear responses that ensures a fair opportunity to participate in the competition and submit an application.

## Step 3 - Eligibility Threshold

Eligibility to apply will be based on each applicant's ability to complete and satisfactorily prove qualifications.

- Renewal Project Applicants are expected to meet all Renewal Threshold Criteria.

- New Project Applicants are expected to meet the Project Eligibility Threshold and Project Quality Threshold criteria:
  - The *Project Eligibility Threshold* consists of eligibility criteria scored on a pass/fail basis.
  - The *Project Quality Threshold* outlines quality requirements for new applications, with eligibility determined by the applicants' ability to meet a minimum number of standards for new project applications.

Only projects meeting the minimum threshold requirements will be reviewed and ranked. See Section III.C.4 of the 2024-2025 NOFO and the applicable Project Application RFP for the listing of the threshold criteria.

#### Step 4 – Project Application Ranking

All eligible projects – both new and renewal - will be reviewed and ranked together in order to strategically allocate resources to the projects that best match the HUD priorities, and to prioritize projects that have been successful in supporting and housing those who are homeless. (See Process for Reallocation.) In its review and ranking of project applications, and consistent with the 2024-2025 NOFO Section V.B.2, the CoC will:

1. Utilize a set of objective criteria; these will be published in the Scoring Matrices that are part of each Project Application RFP (both renewal and new) and will include the type of population served, the type of housing proposed and commitment to Housing First;
2. Use CoC Program required system performance measures. For housing project applicants, the CoC will consider project outcomes which clearly contribute to a reduction in homelessness, especially chronic homelessness;
3. Use comparable databases to evaluate domestic violence providers.

Performance will be analyzed with the use of data – CoC APR Reports (HMIS data) or comparable data for new projects and domestic violence projects – evidencing specific outcome areas. Applicants will also need to provide narrative and documentation on policies and practices when requested. Answers will be rated on a weighted scale.

The following ranking exceptions and considerations apply:

- HMIS: The Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) and Coordinated Entry System (CES) are required projects for the CoC, and so receive top ranking, and granted the funding amount specified in the Grant Information Worksheet (GIW), as long as the project meets the preliminary threshold standards.
- DV Bonus: If a DV Bonus project ranked in either Tier 1 or Tier 2 is selected for funding with DV Bonus funds by HUD, the project will be removed from its respective Tier by HUD and the projects below it will move up one rank position. However, if a new DV Bonus project is not selected for funding with DV bonus funds by HUD, the project will retain its ranked position within either Tier 1 or Tier 2.
- CoC Planning Projects are not ranked and therefore not shown in either Tier 1 or Tier 2

Successful completion of the ranking portion of the Consolidated Application (the Priority Listing) requires that applicants demonstrate their project aligns with the HUD policies and that evaluation measures prove the success of the program in meeting HUD goals. Applicants will provide narrative and documentation to prove each criterion. After a comprehensive review by a neutral third party, points



will be awarded accordingly. Project applications will then be ranked according to each applicant's score and ordered from high to low score on a spreadsheet which identifies Tiers and funding levels as prescribed by HUD. Tier 1 and Tier 2 projects will be determined by which projects fall within the tiers according to their score and the funding requested as compared to funding available. The number of projects funded is contingent on the Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) allocation, any bonuses granted by HUD, and type of projects funded as the top applicants.

#### Step 5 – Council Approval

Project application ranking will be recommended to the CoC Council, illustrated in an order ranking across tiers (see attached Priority Ranking Spreadsheet). The CoC Council will discuss, amend and approve ranking by majority vote. Council members representing an agency with an application may participate in discussing the applications but will recuse themselves from voting. Below are the rules and parameters the CoC Council will use in its deliberations on the Priority Ranking Spreadsheet.

1. Housing Tools provides an overview of the review and ranking criteria and process.
2. *If there is an even number of CoC Councilmembers who are not Project Applicants*, the non-applicant members of the CoC Council designate, by majority, a Review and Ranking Chair to represent the group and cast an additional tie-breaking vote, if necessary.
3. Housing Tools presents the recommended Project Priority Listing. CoC Councilmembers and CoC Members may pose questions to Project Applicant representatives. Project Applicant representatives do not participate in this discussion unless they are requested to respond to specific questions by CoC Councilmembers or CoC Members.
4. Any CoC Councilmember whose agency has submitted an application recuses themselves from voting on the application ranking. These Councilmembers will stay on the premises in case the Council needs to reconvene for further questions.
5. Councilmembers may briefly discuss the applications among themselves or ask Housing Tools criteria or process questions, if necessary. There will be no further discussion or questions with CoC membership unless all Councilmembers are present.
6. The Council votes to approve or disapprove the recommended Project Priority Listing.
7. *In the event that the recommended Project Priority Listing is disapproved by a majority*, Housing Tools will facilitate a decision-making process to reorder the project rankings. Any ranking must be supported by a consistent and strong rationale that adheres to the CoC Program goals and NOFA policy priorities.
8. *In the event that consensus cannot be achieved* for any particular ranking, a vote will be recorded, with each CoC Councilmember casting one vote. *In the event of a tie*, the Review and Ranking Chair will cast an additional tie-breaking vote.
9. Housing Tools records the rankings, Tier 1 or Tier 2 designations, any reallocation, and summarizes these to the full CoC Council.
10. There will be no further discussion, questions, or comment regarding the Project Priority

Listing during the CoC Council Meeting after the vote is complete.

11. *In the case that there is no quorum for a vote*, Steps 1 through Step 6 will be followed. If the majority vote approves the Project Priority Listing, an online vote will take place within 24 hours of the meeting for all absentee non-applicant Councilmembers. If the majority vote disapproves the Project Priority Listing, Step 7 will take place, followed by an online vote for all non-applicant Councilmembers within 24 hours. Step 9 will occur through email announcement by Housing Tools to all CoC Membership, including Councilmembers and Project Applicants.

#### Step 6 - Notifications

All applicants will be notified of their rank order via email. Applications who do not pass threshold requirements, or whose application scores rank them below the level of available funding, will be provided an explanation of why the application was rejected. The Priority Ranking Spreadsheet will be posted on the CoC website for public review and emailed to the CoC memberships. Dated meeting minutes will be published for all meetings that pertain to the CoC's competition process and distributed to the full CoC membership.

**FY2024 Butte Countywide Homeless CoC Recommended Priority Listing**

**Attachment B**

Funding Allocation									Amount	Balance	
	Annual Renewal Demand								\$629,650		
	Potential CoC Bonus								\$110,564		
	Potential DV Bonus	Note: DV Bonus not being applied for							\$0		
	Potential Total Funds Available								\$740,214		
Proposed Ranking	Project Name	Applicant	Service Area	Target Population Served	Primary Use of CoC Funding	Units or Beds	Years in Operation	Grant Term (Years)	Amount Requested	Balance Available	
									<b>Tier 1</b>	<b>\$566,685</b>	
1	HMIS (Renewal)	Butte County DESS	Countywide	N/A	HMIS	N/A	3	1	\$144,080	\$422,605	
2	CES (Renewal)	Butte County DESS	Countywide	N/A	Coordinated Entry	N/A	3	1	\$36,241	\$386,364	
3	HMIS Expansion	Butte County DESS	Countywide	N/A	HMIS	N/A	0	1	\$110,564	\$275,800	
4	Catalyst RRH/TH (Renewal)	Catalyst DV Services	Countywide	Victims of Domestic Violence	Rental Assistance, Supportive Services, Operational Costs	7 beds	2	1	\$112,691	\$163,109	
5	Base Camp Village (Renewal)	Caminar	Countywide	Chronically homeless, mentally ill	Operational Costs	12 beds	5	1	\$87,859	\$75,250	
6	Avenida Apartments (Renewal)	Caminar	Countywide	Chronically homeless, seriously mentally ill	Operational Costs	14 beds	17	1	\$75,250	\$0	
	<b>Tier 1 Total</b>	<b>90% of Annual Renewal Demand = \$566,685</b>							<b>\$566,685</b>		
									<b>Tier 2</b>	<b>\$173,529</b>	
6	Avenida Apartments (Renewal)	Caminar	Countywide	Chronically homeless, seriously mentally ill	Operational Costs	14 beds	17	1	\$16,928	\$156,601	
7	Catalyst RRH (Renewal)	Catalyst DV Services	Countywide	Victims of Domestic Violence	Rental Assistance	10 beds	9	1	\$99,101	\$57,500	
8	Housing for All (Renewal)	Chico Housing Action Team	Chico	Chronically homeless, youth, disabled	Operational Costs	23 beds	0	1	\$57,500	\$0	
	<b>Tier 2 Total</b>	<b>Maximum amount of renewal and CoC Bonus funds the CoC applies for (\$629,650+\$110,564=\$740,214) minus Tier 1 (\$566,685)=\$173,529</b>							<b>\$173,529</b>		
	<b>Maximum Potential Funding</b>	<b>Tier 1 (\$566,685) plus Tier 2 (\$173,529)=\$740,214</b>								<b>\$740,214</b>	<b>\$0</b>

TIER 1:  
\$566,685

TIER 2:  
\$173,529

**FY2024 Butte Countywide Homeless CoC Recommended Priority Listing**

Approved by CoC Council: 09/30/2024

**Attachment C**

Funding Allocation									Amount	Balance
	Annual Renewal Demand (ARD)								\$629,650	
	Potential CoC Bonus								\$110,564	
	Potential DV Bonus	Note: DV Bonus not being applied for							\$0	
	Potential Total Funds Available								\$740,214	
Proposed Ranking	Project Name	Applicant	Service Area	Target Population Served	Primary Use of CoC Funding	Units or Beds	Years in Operation	Grant Term (Years)	Amount Requested	Balance Available
									<b>Tier 1</b>	<b>\$566,685</b>
1	HMIS (Renewal)	Butte County DESS	Countywide	N/A	HMIS	N/A	3	1	\$144,080	\$422,605
2	CES (Renewal)	Butte County DESS	Countywide	N/A	Coordinated Entry	N/A	3	1	\$36,241	\$386,364
3	HMIS Expansion	Butte County DESS	Countywide	N/A	HMIS	N/A	0	1	\$110,564	\$275,800
4	Catalyst RRH/TH (Renewal)	Catalyst DV Services	Countywide	Victims of Domestic Violence	Rental Assistance, Supportive Services, Operational Costs	7 beds	2	1	\$112,691	\$163,109
5	Avenida Apartments (Renewal)	Caminar	Countywide	Chronically homeless, seriously mentally ill	Operational Costs	14 beds	17	1	\$92,178	\$70,931
6	Base Camp Village (Renewal)	Caminar	Countywide	Chronically homeless, mentally ill	Operational Costs	12 beds	5	1	\$70,931	\$0
<b>Tier 1 Total</b>		<b>90% of Annual Renewal Demand (ARD) = \$566,685</b>							<b>\$566,685</b>	
									<b>10% ARD</b>	<b>\$62,965</b>
6	Base Camp Village (Renewal)	Caminar	Countywide	Chronically homeless, mentally ill	Operational Costs	12 beds	5	1	\$16,928	\$46,037
7	Catalyst RRH (Renewal)	Catalyst DV Services	Countywide	Victims of Domestic Violence	Rental Assistance	10 beds	9	1	\$99,101	-\$53,064
8	Housing for All (Renewal)	Chico Housing Action Team	Chico	Chronically homeless, youth, disabled	Operational Costs	23 beds	0	1	\$57,500	-\$110,564
									<b>CoC Bonus</b>	<b>\$110,564</b>
	Catalyst RRH (Renewal)	Portion to be funded by CoC Bonus							\$53,064	\$57,500
	Housing for All (Renewal)	Portion to be funded by CoC Bonus							\$57,500	\$0
<b>Tier 2 Total</b>		<b>Maximum amount of renewal and CoC Bonus funds the CoC applies for (\$629,650+\$110,564=\$740,214) minus Tier 1 (\$566,685)=\$173,529</b>							<b>\$173,529</b>	<b>\$173,529</b>
<b>Maximum Potential Funding</b>		<b>Tier 1 (\$566,685) plus Tier 2 (\$173,529)=\$740,214</b>							<b>\$740,214</b>	<b>\$0</b>

TIER 1:  
\$566,685

TIER 2:  
\$173,529

**FY 2024 Butte Countywide Homeless Continuum of Care  
Renewal Project Scoring Tool**

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

**Scoring/Ranking Criteria**

Data Source	Total Points Available	Total Points Awarded
Renewal Application in eSnaps; Sections: Recipient Performance, Project Description, Supportive Services, Sources of Match; or New Application in eSnaps for Expansion Projects	25	
Annual Performance Report Data (see detail below)	60	
Responses to Questionnaire (see detail below)	5	
<b>Total</b>	<b>90</b>	

**Annual Performance Report Data**

<b>Prioritization of Housing Those Most in Need (project data from APR)</b>	Points Available	Points Awarded
Percent of project participants with income less than \$1000 at entry into program (Benchmark: 65%; 30% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q16</i>	5	
Percent of project participants with more than one disability type (Benchmark: 50%; 0% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q13a1 and a2</i>	6	
Percent of project participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation (Benchmark: 50%; 0% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q15</i>	10	
Percent of project participants who were chronically homeless at entry into program (Benchmark: 80%; 0% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q5a and Q26b</i>	10	
Domestic Violence Agency Applicants: Percent of project participants who were fleeing violence (Benchmark: 100% of domestic violence program participants; 0% other participants) <i>APR: Q14b</i>	10	

<b>Performance Measures</b> (project data from APR)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Percent of participants that move to Permanent Housing, or remain in Permanent Housing for PSH applicants (Benchmark: 80%) <i>APR: Q22a1 and Q23c</i>	10	
Bed/unit utilization rate (Benchmark: 66%) <i>APR: Q2</i>	2	
Percent of participants whose income increased from the start of their participation to their last assessment. (Benchmark: 50%) <i>APR: Q19a1</i>	1	
Percent of participants with health insurance. (Benchmark: 75%) <i>APR: Q21</i>	1	

<b>Data Quality</b> (Project data from APR or HMIS, or comparable system)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Percent of data quality as reported through HMIS or comparable system (Benchmark: 95%; 0% victim services) <i>APR: Q6a, b, c, d, e, f</i>	2	
HMIS or comparable system Bed Coverage Rate (Benchmark 100%; 0% victim services)  <i>As verified by HMIS</i>	2	
1. Applicant completed most recent APR by designated deadline, yes or no.	1	

### Responses to Questionnaire

<b>Racial Equity and LGBTQ+ Assistance</b> (from Questionnaire)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Project has implemented policies, staff training and/or modified procedures to address barriers and ensure equity/non-discrimination	4	

<b>Innovative Practices to Improve Project Performance</b> (from Questionnaire)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Project implemented innovative practices which have positively impacted project performance and contributed to improvement as measured	1	

through HUD System Performance Measures.		
---	--	--

**FY 2024 Butte Countywide Homeless Continuum of Care  
Expansion Project Scoring Tool**

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Applicant: \_\_\_\_\_

**Scoring/Ranking Criteria**

Data Source	Total Points Available	Total Points Awarded
Renewal Application in eSnaps; Sections: Recipient Performance, Project Description, Supportive Services, Sources of Match; or New Application in eSnaps for Expansion Projects	25	
Annual Performance Report Data (see detail below)	60	
Responses to Questionnaire (see detail below)	5	
<b>Total</b>	<b>90</b>	

**Annual Performance Report Data**

<b>Prioritization of Housing Those Most in Need (project data from APR)</b>	Points Available	Points Awarded
Percent of project participants with income less than \$1000 at entry into program (Benchmark: 65%; 30% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q16</i>	5	
Percent of project participants with more than one disability type (Benchmark: 50%; 0% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q13a1 and a2</i>	6	
Percent of project participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation (Benchmark: 50%; 0% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q15</i>	10	
Percent of project participants who were chronically homeless at entry into program (Benchmark: 80%; 0% victim service participants) <i>APR: Q5a and Q26b</i>	10	
Domestic Violence Agency Applicants: Percent of project participants who were fleeing violence (Benchmark: 100% of domestic violence program participants; 0% other participants) <i>APR: Q14b</i>	10	



<b>Performance Measures</b> (project data from APR)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Percent of participants that move to Permanent Housing, or remain in Permanent Housing for PSH applicants (Benchmark: 80%) <i>APR: Q22a1 and Q23c</i>	10	
Bed/unit utilization rate (Benchmark: 66%) <i>APR: Q2</i>	2	
Percent of participants whose income increased from the start of their participation to their last assessment. (Benchmark: 50%) <i>APR: Q19a1</i>	1	
Percent of participants with health insurance. (Benchmark: 75%) <i>APR: Q21</i>	1	

<b>Data Quality</b> (Project data from APR or HMIS, or comparable system)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Percent of data quality as reported through HMIS or comparable system (Benchmark: 95%; 0% victim services) <i>APR: Q6a, b, c, d, e, f</i>	2	
HMIS or comparable system Bed Coverage Rate (Benchmark 100%; 0% victim services)  <i>As verified by HMIS</i>	2	
1. Applicant completed most recent APR by designated deadline, yes or no.	1	

### Responses to Questionnaire

<b>Racial Equity and LGBTQ+ Assistance</b> (from Questionnaire)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Project has implemented policies, staff training and/or modified procedures to address barriers and ensure equity/non-discrimination	4	

<b>Innovative Practices to Improve Project Performance</b> (from Questionnaire)	Points Available	Points Awarded
Project implemented innovative practices which have positively impacted project performance and contributed to improvement as measured	1	

through HUD System Performance Measures.		
---	--	--